

A CHARACTERISTIC SUBGROUP OF  $\Sigma_4$ -FREE GROUPS

BY

BERND STELLMACHER

*Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel  
Ludewig-Meyn-Str. 4, D-24098 Kiel, Germany*

## ABSTRACT

Let  $S$  be a finite non-trivial 2-group. It is shown that there exists a non-trivial characteristic subgroup  $W(S)$  in  $S$  satisfying:  $W(S)$  is normal in  $H$  for every finite  $\Sigma_4$ -free group  $H$  with  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(H)$  and  $C_H(O_2(H)) \leq O_2(H)$ .

Let  $S$  be a non-trivial finite 2-group. In [Gl<sub>1</sub>] (see also [Gl<sub>2</sub>]) Glauberman raised the question whether it is possible to find a non-trivial characteristic subgroup  $W(S)$  of  $S$  such that  $W(S)$  is normal in  $H$  for every finite group  $H$  satisfying:

- (I)  $H$  is  $\Sigma_4$ -free,
- (II)  $S \in \text{Syl}_2(H)$  and  $C_H(O_2(H)) \leq O_2(H)$ .

An affirmative answer to this question would provide an analogue to Glauberman's ZJ-Theorem for the prime 2. It also would improve Glauberman's Triple-Factorization Theorem proved in [Gl<sub>2</sub>].

In this note we will give an answer to that question under the following additional hypothesis (which was also used in [Gl<sub>2</sub>]):

- (III) Every non-abelian simple section of  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$  or  $\text{PSL}_2(3^m)$  for some odd  $m$ .

In section 3 we will define a characteristic subgroup  $W(S)$  of  $S$  with  $\Omega_1(Z(S)) \leq W(S) \leq Z(J(S))$  for which the following theorem holds.

**THEOREM:** *Suppose that  $H$  is a finite group satisfying (I), (II) and (III). Then  $W(S)$  is normal in  $H$ .*

---

Received October 17, 1993 and in revised form April 7, 1994

As with the Triple-Factorization Theorem, one can use the above Theorem and Goldschmidt's result about groups containing a strongly closed abelian 2-subgroup [Gol] to show that the hypotheses (I) and (II) already imply hypothesis (III) (see section 7 in [Gl<sub>2</sub>]).

The proof of the Theorem uses the same approach as in [St<sub>1</sub>] via embeddings and amalgamated products, but in contrast to [St<sub>1</sub>] it does not use the amalgam method.

The properties of the groups  $Sz(2^m)$  and their  $GF(2)$ -modules and of the groups  $L_2(3^m)$  used in the proof can be found in [Su], [Ma], [Gl<sub>2</sub>] and [Hu], respectively. If the reader restricts himself to solvable groups  $H$  the proof becomes, apart from textbook material, self-contained.

## 1. Embeddings

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $S$  a finite  $p$ -group. An **embedding** of  $S$  is a pair  $(\tau, H)$  where  $H$  is a group and  $\tau$  a monomorphism from  $S$  into  $H$ . We are interested in the following class of embeddings of  $S$  and certain subclasses of it.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of all embeddings  $(\tau, H)$  of  $S$  such that

- (i)  $H$  is finite and  $S\tau \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ , and
- (ii)  $C_H(O_p(H)) \leq O_p(H)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-empty subclass of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is **characteristically closed**, if

- (\*)  $(\alpha\tau, H) \in \mathcal{U}$  for every  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$ .

$O_S(\mathcal{U})$  denotes the largest subgroup  $X$  of  $S$  such that

- (\*\*)  $X\tau$  is normal in  $H$  for every  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{U}$ .

1.1: Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a characteristically closed subclass of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$ . Suppose that the subgroup  $X \leq S$  satisfies (\*\*). Then  $X\alpha$  satisfies (\*\*). In particular,  $O_S(\mathcal{U})$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $S$ .

*Proof:* Let  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $(\alpha\tau, H) \in \mathcal{U}$  and thus  $X(\alpha\tau) = (X\alpha)\tau$  is normal in  $H$ . ■

Let  $(\tau_i, H_i) \in \mathcal{U}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We define  $(\tau_1, H_1)$  and  $(\tau_2, H_2)$  to be **equivalent**, if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  such that  $\tau_1\phi = \tau_2$ . This defines an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $[\mathcal{U}]$  be the class of equivalence classes of  $\mathcal{U}$  with respect to this equivalence relation.

1.2: Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a subclass of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $[\mathcal{U}]$  is a finite set.

*Proof:* By (i) and (ii) in the definition of  $\mathcal{C}$  the order of  $H$  is bounded by a function of  $|S|$ . Hence, if  $S$  is fixed, there exist only finitely many non-isomorphic groups  $H$  with (i) and (ii); and for every such group  $H$  there exist only finitely many monomorphisms from  $S$  into  $H$ . ■

Let  $\{(\tau_1, H_1), \dots, (\tau_r, H_r)\}$  be a set of representatives of the classes in  $[\mathcal{U}]$ . Then the amalgamated product of the groups  $H_1, \dots, H_r$  over  $S$  (for the definition see [Se]) is unique up to isomorphism and does not depend on the choice of the representatives. We denote this group by  $G(\mathcal{U})$ .

As usual we identify the groups  $S, H_1, \dots, H_r$  with their images in  $G(\mathcal{U})$ .

1.3: Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-empty subclass of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $X$  a subgroup of  $S$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  satisfies (\*\*).
- (b)  $X$  is normal in  $G(\mathcal{U})$ .

In particular,  $O_S(\mathcal{U})$  is the largest subgroup of  $S$  which is normal in  $G(\mathcal{U})$ .

*Proof:* This follows directly from the definition of  $G(\mathcal{U})$  and the identifications we have made. ■

1.4: Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a characteristically closed subclass of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $A$  a characteristic subgroup of  $S$  in  $O_S(\mathcal{U})$  and  $W = \langle A^{G(\mathcal{U})} \rangle$ . Then  $W$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $S$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$ . By 1.3,  $W$  satisfies (\*\*). Hence, by 1.1,  $W\alpha$  satisfies (\*\*) and, again by 1.3,  $W\alpha$  is normal in  $G(\mathcal{U})$ . Since  $A\alpha = A \leq W\alpha$  we get  $W \leq W\alpha$  and then  $W = W\alpha$ . ■

Let  $\mathcal{C}_M$  be the class of all embeddings  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{C}$  such that:

- (M) For every normal subgroup  $N$  of  $H$ , either  $S\tau \cap N \leq O_p(H)$  or  $O^p(H) \leq N$ .

1.5: Let  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then there exist subgroups  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  of  $H$  containing  $S\tau$  such that  $H = \langle H_1, \dots, H_n \rangle$  and  $(\tau, H_i) \in \mathcal{C}_M$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof:* We proceed by induction on  $|H|$ , and we identify  $S$  with its image in  $H$ . Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $H$ . Then  $H = N_H(S \cap N)N$ . If both  $N_H(S \cap N)$  and  $SN$  are proper subgroups, then by induction the assertion holds for these subgroups and thus for  $H$ . Hence, we may assume that  $H = N_H(S \cap N)$  or  $H = SN$  for every normal subgroup  $N$  of  $H$ . But then  $H$  satisfies (M). ■

A group  $H$  is  $X$ -free, if  $A/B \not\cong X$  for every subgroup  $A$  of  $H$  and every normal subgroup  $B$  of  $A$ . It is easy to see that a finite group  $H$  satisfying (II) is  $\Sigma_4$ -free, if and only if  $H/O_2(H)$  is  $\Sigma_3$ -free.

**2. Modules**

In this section let  $p$  be a prime,  $S$  a finite  $p$ -group and  $V$  a finite  $\text{GF}(p)S$ -module. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(S, V) &= \{A \leq S \mid [V, A] \neq 1, A/C_A(V) \text{ elementary abelian}\}; \\ a(S, V) &= \infty, \quad \text{if } \mathcal{E}(S, V) = \emptyset, \\ a(S, V) &= \min\{\log_{|A/C_A(V)|}(|V/C_V(A)|) \mid A \in \mathcal{E}(S, V)\}, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

2.1: Let  $A \in \mathcal{E}(S, V)$  and  $U$  be a subspace of  $V$ . Suppose that  $|A/C_A(V)|^e = |V/C_V(A)|$ . Then

$$\text{either } |U/C_U(A)| \leq |A/C_A(U)|^e \text{ or } |V/C_V(C_A(U))| < |C_A(U)/C_A(V)|^e.$$

Proof: Set  $A_0 = C_A(U)$ . Assume that  $|U/C_U(A)| > |A/A_0|^e$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |V/C_V(A_0)| &\leq |V/C_V(A)| |C_V(A)U/C_V(A)|^{-1} \\ &< |A/C_A(V)|^e |A/A_0|^{-e} = |A_0/C_A(V)|^e. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2.2: Let  $A \in \mathcal{E}(S, V)$  such that  $|A/C_V(A)|^{\alpha(S, V)} = |V/C_V(A)|$ . Then for every  $A$ -submodule  $U$  of  $V$ , either  $a(A, U) \leq a(S, V)$  or  $[U, A] = 1$ .

Proof: Let  $U$  be an  $A$ -submodule of  $V$  such that  $[U, A] \neq 1$ , and let  $A_0 = C_A(U)$ . Then 2.1 implies that  $a(A, U) \leq a(S, V)$ , or

$$|V/C_V(A_0)| < |A_0/C_A(V)|^{\alpha(S, V)} = |A_0/C_{A_0}(V)|^{\alpha(S, V)}.$$

The second possibility contradicts the definition of  $a(S, V)$ . ■

In the following let  $H$  be a finite group,  $S \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$  and  $V$  a finite  $\text{GF}(p)H$ -module. Moreover, we assume that  $V = \langle Z_0^H \rangle$  for some  $S$ -submodule  $Z_0$  of  $V$ . Set  $a = a(S, Z_0)$  and  $\bar{H} = H/C_H(V)$ .

2.3 ([St<sub>2</sub>]): Suppose that there exists  $A \in \mathcal{E}(S, V)$  such that  $[Z_0, A] = 1$  and  $A \leq O_p(H)$ . Then  $|\overline{A}|^\alpha \leq |V/C_V(A)|$ .

*Proof:* We define subgroups  $A = A_0 \geq A_1 \geq \dots \geq A_t = C_A(V)$  and conjugates  $W_i = Z_0^{x_i}$ ,  $x_i \in H$ , such that  $A_i = C_{A_{i-1}}(W_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Then

$$|A_{i-1}/A_i|^\alpha \leq |W_i/C_{W_i}(A_{i-1})|$$

and

$$|\overline{A_0}|^\alpha \leq \prod_{i=1}^t |W_i/C_{W_i}(A_{i-1})|.$$

On the other hand

$$|W_i/C_{W_i}(A_{i-1})| = |W_i C_V(A_{i-1})/C_V(A_{i-1})| \leq |C_V(A_i)/C_V(A_{i-1})|,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |C_V(A_t)/C_V(A_{t-1})| \cdots |C_V(A_1)/C_V(A_0)| &= |V/C_V(A_0)| \\ &\geq \prod_{i=1}^t |W_i/C_{W_i}(A_{i-1})| \geq |\overline{A_0}|^\alpha. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2.4: Let  $p = 2$  and  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of  $H$  containing  $S$ . Suppose that

- (i) Every non-abelian simple section of  $H$  is isomorphic to  $Sz(2^m)$  or  $L_2(3^m)$  for some odd  $m$ ,
- (ii)  $H$  is  $\Sigma_3$ -free, and
- (iii)  $A$  is an elementary abelian subgroup of  $S$ , and  $A \not\leq \bigcap_{h \in H} M^h$ .

Then there exists a subgroup  $L$  of  $H$  such that

- (a)  $A \leq S \cap L \in \text{Syl}_2(L)$  and  $L \not\leq M$ ,
- (b)  $L = \langle A, A^x \rangle$  for every  $x \in L \setminus M$ , and
- (c)  $L/O_2(L) \cong D_{2r^s}$ ,  $r$  an odd prime, or  $L/O_{2,2'}(L) \cong Sz(2^m)$ ,  $m > 1$ .

*Proof:* We proceed by induction on  $|H|$ . Then we may assume that  $O_2(H) = 1$ . Let  $D = \bigcap_{h \in H} M^h$  and  $\tilde{H} = H/D$ , and let  $|U|$  be minimal with  $S \leq U \leq H$  and  $H = UD$ . Then  $M \cap U$  is a maximal subgroup of  $U$  and

$$\bigcap_{u \in U} (M^u \cap U) \leq \bigcap_{u \in U} M^u = D.$$

Hence,  $U$  satisfies the hypothesis with respect to  $M \cap U$ , and by induction we may assume:

- (\*) If  $S \leq U$  and  $H = UD$ , then  $U = H$ .

In particular, the Frattini argument and (\*) imply that  $O_2(\tilde{H}) = 1$  and  $D$  has odd order.

Assume that  $D \neq 1$ . Then by induction there exists  $\tilde{L} \leq \tilde{H}$  satisfying (a)-(c) with respect to  $\tilde{S}, \tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{M}$ . Hence,  $L$  satisfies the hypothesis with respect to  $L \cap M$ , and again by induction we may assume that  $L = H$ . Now (\*) and  $O_2(\tilde{H}) = 1$  show that  $L$  satisfies (a)-(c).

Assume now that  $D = 1$ . Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $H$ . Note that  $H = NM$ . Suppose that  $N$  is solvable. Then  $N$  has odd order, and

$$[N, A] = \langle [C_N(A_0), A] \mid |A/A_0| = 2 \rangle.$$

If  $[N, A] \leq M$ , then  $\langle A^H \rangle \leq M$ , a contradiction. Thus, there exists a subgroup  $A_0 \leq A$  such that  $|A/A_0| = 2$  and  $[C_N(A_0), A] \not\leq M$ . A subgroup  $L$  satisfying (a)-(c) is now easy to find in  $[C_N(A_0), A]A$ .

Suppose that  $N$  is not solvable. Then  $N = E_1 \times \dots \times E_s, E_i \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$  or  $L_2(3^m)$ . In both cases  $N_H(E_i)/E_i C_H(E_i)$  has odd order, either by (ii) or since  $\text{Aut}(\text{Sz}(2^m))/\text{Sz}(2^m)$  has odd order. Hence  $N_A(E_i) \leq E_i C_H(E_i)$ , and as above  $[N, A] \not\leq M$ . Hence, we may assume that  $[E_1, A] \not\leq M$ . Let  $A_1$  be a subgroup of  $A$  such that

- (\*)  $A_1 \times N_A(E_1) = A$ , if  $C_A(E_1) \neq N_A(E_1)$ , and
- (\*\*)  $C_A(E_1) \leq A_1$  and  $|A/A_1| = 2$ , if  $C_A(E_1) = N_A(E_1)$ .

Define  $E = \{\prod_{a \in A_1} e^a \mid e \in E_1\}$ . Then  $E \cong E_1, E$  is a normal subgroup of  $C_N(A_1)$ , and  $[E, A] \neq 1$ . Moreover,  $[E, E_1 \cap S] = E_1 \not\leq M$  shows that  $E \not\leq M$ .

Suppose that  $A \not\leq N_H(E)$ . Then (\*\*) holds, and  $[E, a] = E \times E^a$  for  $a \in A \setminus A_1$ . Hence, there exists an element  $x \in E$  of odd prime order such that  $x^{-1}x^a \notin M$ . Now  $L = A\langle x^{-1}x^a \rangle$  satisfies (a)-(c).

Suppose that  $A \leq N_H(E)$ . Note that  $EA = EZ(EA)$ . If  $E \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$ , the assertion is easy to check in  $EA$ . Assume that  $E \cong L_2(3^m), m$  odd. By [Hu, II.8.27] we may assume that  $(EA \cap M)Z(EA)/Z(EA) \cong D_{3^m+1}$  and  $AZ(EA) \in \text{Syl}_2(EA)$ . But then  $N_E(A) \not\leq MZ(EA)$ , and the assertion can be verified in  $(M \cap EA)^y$  for  $y \in N_E(A) \setminus MZ(EA)$ . ■

2.5: Let  $p = 2$  and  $A, M, H$  and  $L$  be as in 2.4, and let  $W = \langle Z_0^L \rangle$  and  $\tilde{L} = L/C_L(W)$ . Suppose that

- (i)  $N_H(Z_0) \leq M$  and  $[Z_0, A] = 1$ , and
- (ii)  $[V, A, A] = 1$ .

Then the following hold:

- (a)  $W = C_W(A)C_W(A^x)$  for  $x \in L \setminus M$ .
- (b)  $C_W(A) = [W, A]C_W(L) = [W, a]C_W(L) = C_W(a)$  for  $a \in A \setminus O_2(L)$ .
- (c) If  $|A/A \cap O_2(L)| = 2$ , then  $\tilde{L}/O_2(\tilde{L}) \cong D_{2r^*}$  and every chief factor of  $\tilde{L}$  in  $W/C_W(L)$  is non-central.
- (d) If  $|A/A \cap O_2(L)| \geq 4$ , then  $\tilde{L}/O_2(\tilde{L}) \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$ ,  $m > 1$ , and every chief factor of  $\tilde{L}$  in  $W/C_W(L)$  is a natural  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$ -module. Moreover, if  $O_2(\tilde{L}) = 1$ , then  $W/C_W(L)$  is the direct product of natural  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$ -modules.

*Proof:* Let  $\overline{W} = W/C_W(L)$  and  $a \in A \setminus O_2(L)$ . Then there exists  $y \in L$  such that  $a^y \notin M$  and  $L = \langle A, a^y \rangle$ . Hence  $\overline{W} = [\overline{W}, A][\overline{W}, a^y]\overline{Z}_0$ . By our hypotheses  $[W, A]Z_0 \leq C_W(A)$  and  $[W, A]Z_0 \cap [W, a^y] \leq C_W(L)$ . Thus

$$[\overline{W}, A]\overline{Z}_0 \cap [\overline{W}, a^y] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |[\overline{W}, A]\overline{Z}_0|^2 \leq |\overline{W}|.$$

It follows that

$$|[\overline{W}, a^y]| \leq |[\overline{W}, A]| \leq |[\overline{W}, A]\overline{Z}_0| \leq |\overline{W}/[\overline{W}, A]\overline{Z}_0| = |[\overline{W}, a^y]|.$$

This gives  $[\overline{W}, A] = [\overline{W}, A]\overline{Z}_0$  and  $\overline{W} = [\overline{W}, A] \times [\overline{W}, a^y]$ . Now (a)–(c) follow.

We now assume that  $|A/A \cap O_2(L)| \geq 4$ . Then  $L/O_{2,2'}(L) \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$ ,  $m > 1$ . Suppose that  $[O_{2,2'}(L), A] \not\leq O_2(L)$ . Let  $A_0 \leq A$  be maximal with  $a \in A_0$  and  $A_0 \cap O_2(L) = 1$ . By (b),  $[\overline{W}, A_0] = [\overline{W}, a]$ . Now  $|A_0| \geq 4$  shows that  $O_{2,2'}(L)$  operates trivially on every chief factor of  $L$  in  $\overline{W}$ , and  $O^2(O_{2,2'}(L)) \leq C_L(W)$ . Since the Schur multiplier of  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$  is a 2-group this gives  $\tilde{L}/O_2(\tilde{L}) \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$ .

Let  $\tilde{f}$  be an element of order 5 in  $\tilde{L}$ . Then  $\tilde{f} \notin M$  since  $N_L(S \cap L)O_{2,2'}(L) = L \cap M$ . We may assume that  $\tilde{f}$  is inverted by  $a$ . It follows that  $\overline{W} = [\overline{W}, a] \times [\overline{W}, a^{\tilde{f}}]$ , and  $\tilde{f}$  operates fixed-point-freely on  $\overline{W}$ . Hence, [Ma] gives the remaining assertion of (d). ■

2.6: Suppose that  $p = 2$ ,  $O_2(\overline{H}) = 1$  and  $H$  satisfies (i) and (ii) of 2.4. Then  $2 \leq a(S, V)$ .

*Proof:* This is Theorem A in the appendix of [Gl<sub>2</sub>]. ■

Let  $H \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$  and  $V$  be a natural  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$ -module. In the next lemma we use the following properties of  $V$ . There exists a series  $1 = V_0 \leq \dots \leq V_4 = V$  of  $N_H(S)$ -submodules of  $V$  such that

- (i)  $[V_i, S] = V_{i-1}$ ,  $|V_i/V_{i-1}| = 2^m$ , and  $V_i/V_{i-1}$  is an irreducible  $N_H(S)$ -module for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ,
- (ii)  $V_1 = C_V(s) = [V_2, s]$  for every  $s \in S \setminus Z(S)$ , and  $V_2 = C_V(z) = [V, z]$  for every  $1 \neq z \in Z(S)$ .

2.7: Suppose that  $H/Z(H) \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$ ,  $m > 1$ , and  $Z(H) \leq H'$ . Let  $W$  be a normal subgroup of  $N_H(S)$  in  $S$ . Then either  $Z(H) \leq W$  or  $W \leq Z(H)$ .

*Proof:* By 17.4, 25.1 and 25.3 of [Hu],  $Z(H) \leq S'$ . Let  $W$  be a counterexample. Then we may assume that  $|Z(H)/Z(H) \cap W| = 2$ . Hence, the action of  $N_H(S)$  on  $S$  shows that  $S/W$  is extraspecial. But  $|S/WZ(H)| = 2^m$ ,  $m$  odd, a contradiction. ■

2.8: Let  $H/O_2(H) \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$ ,  $m > 1$ , and let  $W$  be an elementary abelian normal subgroup of  $N_H(S)$  in  $S$ . Suppose that

- (a)  $C_H(O_2(H)) \leq O_2(H)$  and  $W \not\leq O_2(H)$ , and
- (b)  $O_2(H)/Z(H)$  is the direct product of natural  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$ -modules.

Then there exists a subgroup  $Q \leq S$  such that  $\Phi(Q) \leq C_H(W)$  and  $|W/C_W(Q)| \leq |Q/C_Q(W)| \neq 1$ .

*Proof:* Let  $X = O_2(H)$  and  $\bar{X} = X/Z(H)$ , and set  $X_0 = [X, S]$  and  $X_1 = [X_0, W]$ . Note that  $\bar{X} = (\bar{X} \cap \bar{W})(\bar{X} \cap \bar{W})^t$  for  $t \in H \setminus N_H(S)$  and  $|S/WX| = 2^m$ . Note further that  $|\bar{X}| = q^4$ ,  $|\bar{X}_0| = q^3$  and  $|C_{\bar{X}}(S)| = q$ , where  $q = 2^{mn}$ ,  $n$  the number of  $H$ -chief factors in  $\bar{X}$ .

Since  $[\bar{X}_0, S, W] = [S, W, \bar{X}_0] = 1$  the 3-subgroup Lemma implies  $[X_1, S] \leq Z(H)$  and  $|\bar{X}_1| = q$ . In addition,  $\bar{X}_0 = \{v \in \bar{X} \mid [v, w] \in \bar{X}_1\}$  for every  $w \in W \setminus X$ . Let  $x \in X \setminus X_0$ . Then  $[X_1, x, S] = [S, X_1, x] = 1$  and thus also  $[x, S, X_1] = 1$ . Since  $\langle [\bar{x}, S](\bar{W} \cap \bar{X}) \mid x \in X \setminus X_0 \rangle = \bar{X}_0$ , we conclude that  $[X_1, X_0] = 1$ .

Let  $s \in S \setminus WX$ . Then  $s^2 = wv$  where  $v \in (W \cap X)^t Z(H)$  and  $w \in W$ . It follows that  $(wv)^2 \in [w, v]Z(H) = s^4 Z(H)$ ; in particular,  $[w, v] \in C_{W \cap X}(s) \leq X_1 Z(H)$ . But this implies that  $v \in X_0 Z(H)$  and  $s^2 \in WX_0 Z(H)$ . Then there exists  $v' \in X$  such that  $[s, v'](W \cap X)Z(H) = v(W \cap X)Z(H)$ . Hence  $(sv')^2 = s^2 v'^2 [s, v'] \in w(W \cap X)Z(H)$ . Thus, there exists  $s \in S \setminus WX$  such that  $s^2 \in WZ(H)$ .

Note that  $[s, W] \leq C_W(s) \cap X \leq X_1$  because  $W$  is elementary abelian and  $s^2 \in WZ(H)$ . Hence  $[X_0, s, W] = [s, W, X_0] = 1$  and thus also  $[W, X_0, s] = [X_1, s] = 1$ . Let  $Q = Z(H)X_0W \langle s^g \mid g \in N_H(S) \rangle$ . Then  $[X_1, Q] = 1$  and  $[W, Q] \leq$



$X_1$ . It follows that  $\Phi(Q) \leq C_H(W)$ . Moreover  $C_W(Q) = X_1(Z(H) \cap W)$  and  $C_Q(W) = WZ(H)$ . This gives

$$|W/C_W(Q)| = 2^m q \leq |Q/C_Q(W)|$$

since  $QX = S$ . ■

2.9: Suppose that  $p = 2$  and  $H$  satisfies (i) and (ii) of 2.4. Then  $a(S, V) \leq 1$  implies  $a(S, Z_0) \leq 1$ .

*Proof:* Assume that  $a(S, V) \leq 1$  but  $a(S, Z_0) > 1$ , and let  $|V/Z_0||H|$  be minimal with that property. Then  $Z_0 \neq V$ . We choose the following notation:

$\mathcal{E}^*(S, V)$  is the set of all  $A \in \mathcal{E}(S, V)$  such that  $|A/C_A(V)|^{a(S,V)} = |V/C_V(A)|$ ,

$\mathcal{E}_0^*(S, V)$  is the set of all  $A \in \mathcal{E}^*(S, V)$  such that  $|A/C_A(V)|$  is minimal,

$J(S, V) = \langle A | A \in \mathcal{E}_0^*(S, V) \rangle$ ,  $M_0 = N_H(J(S, V))$ ,  $Z_0^* = \langle Z_0^{M_0} \rangle$  and  $M = N_H(Z_0^*)$ .

From 2.2 we get that  $[Z_0, J(S, V)] = 1$  and thus also  $[Z_0^*, J(S, V)] = 1$ . In particular  $V \neq Z_0^*$  and  $M \neq H$ . Now the minimality of  $|V/Z_0||H|$  gives either  $Z_0 = Z_0^*$  or  $a(S, Z_0^*) \leq 1$ . In the second case  $|Z_0^*/Z_0||M_0| < |V/Z_0||H|$ , and again the minimality of  $|V/Z_0||H|$  implies that  $a(S, Z_0) \leq 1$ , a contradiction. Thus, we have  $Z_0 = Z_0^*$ .

Let  $M \leq H_0 \leq H$  such that  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $H_0$ , and let  $Z_1 = \langle Z_0^{H_0} \rangle$ . Then  $Z_1 \neq Z_0$ , and as above the minimality of  $|V/Z_0||H|$  first gives  $a(S, Z_1) \leq 1$  and then  $H_0 = H$ .

Let  $D = \bigcap_{h \in H} M^h$ . Assume that  $J(S, V) \leq D$ . Then by the Frattini argument  $H = M_0 D \leq M$ , a contradiction. Hence, there exists  $A \in \mathcal{E}_0^*(S, V)$  such that  $A \not\leq D$ . Since  $|A/C_A(V)|$  is minimal the Thompson-Replacement Theorem [Go] gives  $[V, A, A] = 1$ . We have shown that  $Z_0, A, M$  and  $H$  satisfy the hypotheses of 2.4 and 2.5. Moreover  $M = N_H(Z_0)$ .

Let  $L$  be as in 2.4. Set  $U = \langle Z_0^L \rangle$ ,  $\bar{U} = U/C_U(L)$ ,  $A_0 = A \cap O_2(L)$ ,  $Q = \langle A_0^L \rangle$  and  $\bar{L} = L/C_L(U)$ . Note that by 2.2,  $a(A, U) \leq a(A, V) \leq 1$ . Note further that  $M \cap L = N_L(Z_0)$  and  $[Z_0, A] = 1$ ; in particular  $[N, U] = 1$  for every normal subgroup  $N$  of  $L$  in  $M$  with  $[N, A] = N$ . Thus, if  $L/C_L(U)$  is solvable, then 2.5(c) and the Frattini argument give  $M \cap L = C_L(U)(S \cap L)$ . If  $L/C_L(U)$  is not solvable, then 2.5(b), 2.5(d) and the structure of  $Sz(2^m)$  yield  $M \cap L = C_L(U)N_L(S \cap L)$ . Hence, in both cases  $M \cap L = C_L(U)N_L(S \cap L) = N_L(Z_0)$ .

By 2.5(a) we also have  $U = C_U(A)C_U(A^x)$  for  $x \leq L \setminus M$ . For  $y \in L$  we get that  $[Z_0^y, A_0] \leq C_U(A)$  since  $[U, A, A] = 1$ , and  $[Z_0^y, A_0] \leq Z_0^y \leq C_U(A^y)$ . Hence

$$[Z_0^x, A_0] \leq C_U(A) \cap C_U(A^x) = C_U(L) \quad \text{for } x \in L \setminus M.$$

In particular,  $Q$  operates quadratically on  $U$  and  $[U, Q] \leq C_U(L)$ .

Let  $B_0 = A_0[Q, A]$ . Then  $C_U(A) \leq C_U(B_0)$  since  $[C_U(A), Q] \leq C_U(L)$ , and  $\bar{Q} = \bar{B}_0 \times \bar{B}_0^x$ . Moreover, 2.6 (applied to  $L$  and a non-central  $L$ -chief factor of  $U$ ) and  $a(A, U) \leq 1$  imply that  $\bar{A}_0 \neq 1$  and thus  $\bar{B}_0 \neq 1$ .

Assume that  $|A/A_0| \geq 4$ . Then by 2.5,  $\bar{L}/O_2(\bar{L}) \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$  and every chief factor of  $\bar{L}$  in  $\bar{Q}$  and  $\bar{U}$  is a natural  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$ -module. Hence  $|\bar{B}_0| = 2^{2km}$  and

$$|U/C_U(A)| = 2^{2sm} \leq |\bar{A}| \leq |A/A_0| |\bar{B}_0| \leq 2^{2km+sm}$$

since  $a(A, U) \leq 1$ . It follows that  $k \geq s$  and  $|\bar{B}_0| \geq |U/C_U(\bar{B}_0)|$ , and by 2.3 applied to  $B_0, U$  and  $L$  (in place of  $A, V$  and  $H$ )

$$|\bar{B}_0|^{a(S, Z_0)} \leq |U/C_U(B_0)| \leq |\bar{B}_0|.$$

Hence  $a(S, Z_0) \leq 1$ , a contradiction.

Assume now that  $|A/A_0| = 2$ . Then  $\bar{L}/O_2(\bar{L}) \cong D_{2r}$ ,  $r$  an odd prime, since  $\overline{M \cap L} = N_{\bar{L}}(\overline{S \cap L})$ . Now  $|\bar{Q}| = 2^{2k}$  and  $|\bar{U}| = 2^{2s}$  where  $r|2^{2k} - 1$  and  $r|2^{2s} - 1$ . On the other hand, as above

$$|U/C_U(A)| = 2^s \leq 2|\bar{B}_0| = 2^{k+1}$$

since  $a(S, V) \leq 1$ , and thus  $s \leq k + 1$ . If  $s \leq k$ , we get as above with 2.3  $a(S, Z_0) \leq 1$ . Hence  $s = k + 1$  and  $2^{2k} \equiv 2^{2k+2} \equiv 1 \pmod r$ . This gives  $r = 3$ , and  $L$  is not  $\Sigma_4$ -free, a contradiction. ■

### 3. A characteristic subgroup

In this section  $p = 2$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the class of all embeddings  $(\tau, H)$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $H$  is  $\Sigma_4$ -free. Let  $\mathcal{F}^*$  be the class of those  $(\tau, H)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying:

(\*) Every non-abelian simple section of  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$  or  $L_2(3^m)$  for some odd  $m$ .

Note that condition (ii) in the definition of  $\mathcal{C}$  implies that  $H/O_2(H)$  is  $\Sigma_3$ -free for every  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Throughout this section we identify  $S$  with its image in the corresponding groups  $H$ . We now define the following subclasses of  $\mathcal{F}^*$ :

$\mathcal{F}_1$ : all  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{F}^* \cap \mathcal{C}_M$  with  $J(S)$  normal in  $H$ ,

$\mathcal{F}_2$ : all  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{F}^* \cap \mathcal{C}_M$  with  $J(S)$  not normal in  $H$ .

Let  $G_1 = G(\mathcal{F}_1)$ ,  $W_0 = \Omega_1(Z(S))$ , and  $W = \langle W_0^{G_1} \rangle$ . Note that  $W \leq Z(J(S))$  and that by 1.4  $W$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $S$ .

For a sequence  $(\tau_1, H_1), \dots, (\tau_k, H_k)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  we define recursively:

$$W_i = \langle W_{i-1}^{H_i} \rangle \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Since  $Z(J(S))$  is normal in  $G_1$  there exists a sequence  $(\tau_0, H_0), \dots, (\tau_t, H_t)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  such that

- (i)  $H_0 = S$ , and
- (ii)  $W_i \neq W_{i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $W_t = W$ .

3.1:  $a(S, W_i) > 1$  for  $0 \leq i \leq t$ .

*Proof:* Note that  $a(S, W_0) = \infty$ . Thus, 3.1 follows from 2.9. ■

3.2: Let  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Then either  $[W_i, O^2(H)] = 1$  or  $W_i \not\leq O_2(H)$ .

*Proof:* Suppose that  $[W_i, O^2(H)] \neq 1$  and  $W_i \leq O_2(H)$ . Set  $Y = \langle W_i^H \rangle$ . Assume that  $Y$  is abelian. Then 2.9 and 3.1 give  $[Y, J(S)] = 1$ . Hence  $[Y, O^2(H)] = 1$  since  $J(S) \not\leq O_2(H)$ , and thus also  $[W_i, O^2(H)] = 1$ .

Assume now that  $Y$  is non-abelian. Then there exists  $x \in H$  such that  $[W_i, W_i^x] \neq 1$ . We may assume that  $|W_i/C_{W_i}(W_i^x)| \leq |W_i^x/C_{W_i^x}(W_i)|$ . Hence  $a(S, W_i) \leq 1$  which contradicts 3.1. ■

3.3: Suppose that  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $H$  is solvable. Then  $[W, O^2(H)] = 1$ .

*Proof:* Let  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{F}_2$  be a counterexample such that  $|H|$  is minimal. Then by 1.5,  $N_H(W)$  is the unique maximal subgroup of  $H$  containing  $S$ . Note that by 3.2,  $W \not\leq O_2(H)$ . Hence, by 2.4 there exists  $L \leq H$  satisfying

- (\*)  $L/O_2(L) \cong D_{2r}$ ,  $r$  an odd prime, and
- (\*\*)  $S \cap L \in \text{Syl}_2(L)$  and  $L = \langle W, W^x \rangle$  for  $x \in L \setminus N_H(W)$ .

We choose the following notation:

$A = W \cap O_2(L)$ ,  $B = W^x \cap O_2(L)$ ,  $X = AB$ ,  $D = A \cap B$ ,  $\bar{X} = X/D$ , and  $\bar{L} = L/X$ .

Note that  $O_2(L) = X$ . Let  $j$  be minimal with the following property:

(\*\*\*) There exists  $y \in G_1$  such that  $W_j^y \not\leq O_2(L)$  and  $X \leq S^y$ .

Note that  $y = 1$  and  $W_j = W$  satisfy (\*\*\*). We set  $U = H_j^y$ ,  $V_0 = W_j^y$ ,  $V = [V_0, O^2(U)]$ ,  $V_1 = [V, O_2(U)]$ ,  $\bar{V} = V/V_1$ ,  $X_1 = \langle (B \cap O_2(U))^L \rangle$ .

Assume that  $j = 0$ . Then  $[X, Z_0^y] = 1$  and thus  $[X, O^2(L)] = 1$ . On the other hand  $[O_2(H), O^2(L)] \leq X$ , since  $O_2(H) \leq N_H(W) \cap N_H(W^x)$ . Hence,  $[O_2(H), O^2(L)] = 1$  which contradicts  $C_H(O_2(H)) \leq O_2(H)$ .

Note that  $V_0 = \langle W_{j-1}^{yz} | z \in U \rangle$  since  $j > 0$ . Hence, there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $W_{j-1}^{yu} \not\leq O_2(L)$ . Note further that  $A \leq O_2(U)$  since  $W$  is normal in  $G_1$ . If  $B \leq O_2(U)$ , then  $X \leq O_2(U) \leq S^{yu}$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $j$ . Since  $BO_2(U) = XO_2(U)$  we have shown:

(1)  $B \not\leq O_2(U)$  and  $B/B \cap O_2(U) \cong X/X \cap O_2(U)$ .

Since  $W_{j-1}^y \neq W_j^y$  we get  $V \neq 1$ . Moreover,  $W_{j-1}^y \leq A$  by the minimality of  $j$ , and  $V_0 = VW_{j-1}^y$ . It follows that

(2)  $V_0 = V(V_0 \cap A)$ ,  $V \not\leq O_2(L)$  and  $|V/V \cap A| = 2$ .

Since  $V$  operates quadratically on  $\bar{X}$  we get from 2.5 that  $A = (V \cap A)D$ . Note further that  $B$  is abelian and  $D \leq Z(L)$ . Thus, we have

(3)  $[X, B \cap O_2(U)] = [A \cap V, B \cap O_2(U)] = [X, X_1] \leq D \cap V_1$ .

In particular  $|\bar{V}/C_{\bar{V}}(X_1)| \leq |V/V \cap A| = 2$ , and 2.6 gives  $X_1 \leq O_2(U)$  and  $B \cap X_1 = B \cap O_2(U)$ . Since by (3)  $[B, V \cap X_1] \leq V_1$  we conclude that  $\bar{V} \cap \bar{X}_1 \leq C_{\bar{V}}(B)$ . This implies that

$$|B/B \cap O_2(U)| = |BX_1/X_1| = |AX_1/X_1| = \frac{1}{2}|VX_1/X_1| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\bar{V}/C_{\bar{V}}(B)|.$$

On the other hand, 2.6 yields  $|B/B \cap O_2(U)|^2 \leq |\bar{V}/C_{\bar{V}}(B)|$ . Thus,  $|\bar{V}/C_{\bar{V}}(B)| \leq 2$ , and again 2.6 shows that  $B \leq O_2(U)$ , which contradicts (1). ■

3.4: Suppose that  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $[W, O^2(H)] = 1$ .

*Proof:* Let  $(\tau, H)$  be a counterexample such that  $|H|$  is minimal. By 3.2  $W \not\leq O_2(H)$  and by 3.3  $H$  is not solvable. As in 3.3 the minimality of  $H$  shows that  $N_H(W)$  is the unique maximal subgroup of  $H$  containing  $S$ . It follows from [Hu, V.25.1 and 25.3] that  $O^2(H/O_2(H)) = E_1 \times \dots \times E_k$ ,  $E_j \cong \text{Sz}(2^m)$ ,  $m > 1$ . In particular  $N_H(W) = N_H(S \cap O^2(H)O_2(H))$ .

Since the centralizer of an involution in  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$  is a 2-group we conclude that any two conjugates of  $W$  generate a non-solvable group. Hence, by 2.4 there

exists  $L \leq H$  satisfying

$$(*) \quad L/O_2(L) \cong \text{Sz}(2^m), \quad m > 1,$$

and

$$(**) \quad S \cap L \in \text{Syl}_2(L) \quad \text{and} \quad L = \langle W, W^x \rangle \quad \text{for } x \in L \setminus N_H(W).$$

Let  $X$  be as in 3.3. The action of  $N_L(W)$  on  $W$  shows that  $L = O^2(L)X$ . Hence,  $L/X$  is a perfect central extension of  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$ , and 2.7 implies that  $X \leq O_2(L) \leq WX$ . This gives  $X = O_2(L)$ , and by 2.5  $X/Z(L)$  is the direct product of natural  $\text{Sz}(2^m)$ -modules. Thus,  $L$  satisfies the hypothesis of 2.8, but 2.8 contradicts 3.1. ■

*The proof of the Theorem:* Define  $W(S) := W$ . According to 3.4,  $W(S)$  is normal in  $H$  for every  $(\tau, H) \in \mathcal{F}^* \cap \mathcal{C}_M$ . Now 1.5 yields the Theorem. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I would like to thank A. Chermak, A. Delgado and G. Glauberman for reading a first draft of this paper and making several helpful suggestions.

### References

- [Gl<sub>1</sub>] G. Glauberman, *Subgroups of finite groups*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **73** (1967), 1–12.
- [Gl<sub>2</sub>] G. Glauberman, *Factorizations in local subgroups of finite groups*, Regional Conference in Mathematics, Vol. 33 (1977).
- [Go] D. Gorenstein, *Finite Groups*, Harper and Row, New York, 1967.
- [Gol] D. Goldschmidt, *2-Fusion in finite groups*, Annals of Mathematics **99** (1974), 70–117.
- [Hu] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [Ma] R. P. Martineau, *On 2-modular representations of the Suzuki groups*, American Journal of Mathematics **94** (1972), 55–72.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [St<sub>1</sub>] B. Stellmacher, *An analogue to Glauberman's ZJ-Theorem*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **109** (1990), 925–929.
- [St<sub>2</sub>] B. Stellmacher, *On the 2-local structure of finite groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series **165** (1990), 159–182.
- [Su] M. Suzuki, *On a class of doubly transitive groups*, Annals of Mathematics **75** (1962), 105–145.